1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 10cr6038 6 ) VS. 7 Rochester, New York November 21, 2011 DAVID RINERE, ) 11:07 a.m. 8 Defendant. - - - - - X 9 SENTENCE 10 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES J. SIRAGUSA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 12 13 14 WILLIAM J. HOCHUL, JR., ESQ. United States Attorney 15 BY: MARISA MILLER, ESQ. CRAIG GESTRING, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney 16 6200 Federal Building Rochester, New York 14614 17 18 19 MEHMET K. OKAY, ESQ. PO BOX 622 20 Batavia, New York 14020 Appearing on behalf of the Defendant 21 22 S. Whitcomb, USPO 23 24 COURT REPORTER: Karen J. Bush, Official Court Reporter (585) 613-4312 25 100 State Street Rochester, New York 14614

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 4 5 6 7 THE COURT: For the record, this is the matter of the United States versus David Rinere. You are David Rinere? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: And you're appearing with your 11 attorney, Mr. Okay; is that correct? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 13 The Court notes the presence of Ms. THE COURT: 14 Miller on behalf of the government; and Ms. Whitcomb is pinch 15 hitting on behalf of Mr. Spogen for probation. As you know, 16 this matter is on for sentencing and in that regard I have 17 received and reviewed the following: The presentence 18 investigation report prepared by Mr. Spogen as revised dated 19 October 13th, 2011; the government's statement with respect to 20 sentencing factors dated November 15th, 2011; the government's 2.1 letter dated October 16th, 2011 regarding the victim's mother's 22 request to be heard during the sentencing hearing; an undated 23 letter from the victim's mother; an undated letter from the 24 victim's grandmother, Ms. Bigato; an undated letter from the 25 victim herself; I received the defendant's statement with

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 respect to sentencing factors dated November 17th, 2011; I've 3 also received the defendant's revised statement with regard to 4 sentencing factors dated today's date, and the defendant's 5 motion for an extension of time to file the documents that were 6 submitted today. And I've also received a sentencing memorandum from the defendant dated November 21st, 2011. 7 8 Before we proceed, I do want to note that I'll grant the 9 application for the late filing. However, in the amended 10 filing which I've now accepted, I'm reading under No. 2 and 11 2(a) it says, relative to paragraph 37 of the presentence 12 report, the defendant denies that he was ever sexually involved 13 with the minor victim. Relative to paragraph 61 of the 14 presentence report, the defendant denies vaginal, anal, oral 15 and digital intercourse with the minor victim. I do note that 16 in the plea agreement itself in paragraph 10(b) it reads the 17 government and the defendant agree that the following specific 18 offense characteristics do apply. (B), the two-level increase 19 pursuant to Guideline Section 2G2.1(b)(2)(A) an offense 20 involved the commission of a criminal sexual act -- excuse me 2.1 -- of a sexual act. The Guidelines in defining sexual act 22 refuse 18 U.S.C. section 2462. The term "sexual act" means: 23 Contact between the penis and the vulva or the penis and the 24 anus. And for the purpose of this subparagraph contracting 25 involving the penis occurs upon penetration however slight.

USA VS. D. RINERE

1 2 (B) contact between the mouth and the penis, the mouth and the 3 vulva, and the mouth and the anus (C) the penetration, however 4 slight, of the anal or genital opening of another by a hand, 5 finger or by any object with intent to abuse, humiliate, 6 harass, degrade or arouse or gratify the sexual desire of any 7 other person. (D) the intentional touching, not through the clothing, of the genitalia of another person who has not 8 9 obtained the year of sixteen years with an intent to abuse, 10 humiliate, harass, degrade or arouse the sexual desire of any 11 person. 12 I would also note according to what the court 13 could determine based upon the presentence report that the 14 defendant was indicted in Monroe County in 2010 on indictment 15 No. 369 with multiple counts of sexual act in the second --16 multiple counts of criminal sexual act, rape in the second, 17 endangering the welfare of a minor, and sex abuse in the third. 18 Apparently, a number of those charges, or at least a couple of 19 those charges, were dismissed, but the remaining charges are 20 still pending in indictment No. 369. Additionally, it appears

that on January 24th of 2011 the defendant was indicted again in Monroe County for rape in the second degree, three counts

sexual abuse in the third. For some unknown reason to me there

has been no arraignment on the new indictment. The first

25 indictment, some of which the charges were dismissed, he was

2.1

22

23

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 arraigned, and, apparently, that is on tomorrow, the Court 3 assumes. And there is an indication that the victim is the 4 same as the victim in this case. 5 So we're confronted, Mr. Okay, with your position that your client did not commit any sexual acts in the face of, 6 7 I'm assuming, an indictment returned in Monroe County court which found probable cause that he did exactly that, and in the 8 9 face of a plea agreement in which he said that he, again, I'm 10 quoting, that paragraph 10(b) of the plea agreement: 11 two-level increase pursuant to Guideline Section 12 2G1.1(b)(2)(A), an offense involved the commission of a sexual 13 act. Now, obviously there is a factual dispute, despite what's 14 indicated in the plea agreement, to be fair to your client, I 15 want to the factual dispute resolved. The Court would have to determine by a preponderance of evidence that a sexual act did 16 17 I reviewed the transcript, which I have. Because the 18 charge did not involve the actual commission of the sexual act, 19 the factual portion of the plea agreement which the Court uses 20 as a base line in taking a plea did not specifically include 21 allegations that he engaged in a sexual act. The Court did not 22 specifically inquire into those. 23 MS. MILLER: Your Honor, if I may, the 24 government's reading of the transcript is that sexual contact 25 was raised by the Court twice.

USA VS. D. RINERE 1 2 THE COURT: Where? I have the transcript. 3 MS. MILLER: On pages 32 at lines 22 through 25. 4 THE COURT: Hang on a second. 32, lines 22 5 through 35. 6 MS. MILLER: Twenty five, your Honor. THE COURT: Let me read those for the record. 7 Start with 19 on page 32. The Court: Mr. Rinere, you had to 8 9 know doing this was wrong, is that a fair statement the 10 defendant: I'm sorry I didn't hear you. The Court: You had 11 to know that being involved in this relationship, which 12 apparently was some sexual relationship with the girl, was 13 wrong, fair statement. 14 Yes, sir. 15 The Court --16 MS. MILLER: And, your Honor, continuing then on 17 page 33 beginning at line 15. 18 THE COURT: Let me pick it up on 15. The Court: 19 I understand, but the fact remains you knew the photographs 20 were photographs of a 13 year old and that they were sexually 21 explicit and what you're telling me that you did engage in 22 sexual acts with her. 23 You're right. 24 Is that a fair statement. 25 Yes, sir.

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 Mr. Okay, is it your client's position that he did 3 not engage in sexual acts with the victim. 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is. 5 MR. OKAY: Your Honor, if I could just add If we don't object to the -- what's in the PSR, 6 something. it's deemed admitted as fact. And there is an outstanding 7 prosecution in state court now where that could be used against 8 9 And so that puts us in a difficult position here. 10 THE COURT: You're in a Hobson choice. But here 11 is what I would do. I'm going to adjourn sentencing, you can 12 submit to me -- listen. You can submit to me an affidavit from the victim and I will order a hearing. I can -- at a hearing, 13 I can determine what is reliable. In other words, hearsay is 14 15 admissible. And I need to base any decision on what I consider reliable. Certainly something under oath in an affidavit form 16 17 the Court can consider reliable evidence. Mr. Okay, I'll give 18 your client a chance to offer, if he wants to offer testimony, 19 he can offer testimony. I'm pointing out 3E1.1, "Acceptance of 20 Responsibility" application note No. 1: In determining, 2.1 whether a defendant qualifies under subsection (a) appropriate 22 considerations include but are not limited to the following: 23 Truthfully admitting the conduct comprising the offense of 24 conviction; and truthfully admitting or not falsely denying any

additional relevant conduct for which the defendant is

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 accountable under 1B1.3, "Relevant Conduct." Note that a 3 defendant is not required to volunteer or affirmatively admit 4 relevant conduct beyond the offense of conviction in order to 5 obtain a reduction under subsection (a). A defendant may 6 remain silent in respect to relevant conduct beyond the offense of conviction without affecting his ability to obtain a 7 reduction under this section. However, a defendant who falsely 8 9 denies or frivolously contests relevant conduct that a Court 10 determines to be true has acted in a matter inconsistent with 11 acceptance of responsibility. So, I point that out as well. 12 That if the defendant -- if I determine the defendant is 13 affirmatively falsely denying this, he could lose two points. 14 I'm not sure of the last one but he could lose two points for 15 acceptance. And, also, there could be an issue of perjury during the course of a sentencing hearing, which could result 16 17 in a two-point increase. If that -- I'm not saying that were 18 to happen. But if that were to occur, if that were to occur, 19 the defendant's offense level would be increased by -- could 20 potentially be increased by a total of three, which would take 2.1 him to -- from what, potentially -- which would take him from a 22 36 to a 39; is that correct? I'm not saying that would occur. 23 Again, I realize there is the issue of distribution as well. 24 But with a 39, I mean, the recommended range under the advisory 25 Guidelines would then become the statutory maximum of 240

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 months. I'm again trying to be fair to the defendant. I mean, 3 I didn't specifically get into the nature of the sexual act 4 because I didn't think it was relevant at the time. 5 if he is contesting that there was some confusion, but the fact remains that these photographs were photographs of a 6 7 13-year-girl and were sexually explicit. And what you're telling me you did engage in sexual acts with her, is that a 8 9 fair statement. Now, I didn't define sexual acts, but 10 certainly if your client wants to submit an affidavit or 11 testify. 12 MR. OKAY: Your Honor, my client has just 13 indicated to me that he is willing with withdraw the revised 14 statement of parties with respect to sentencing factors and we 15 would default back to the one we filed on November 17th, which 16 doesn't have any of those objections in it. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Rinere, let me ask you this. 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: Again, my job is to be fair in this 20 case. And if there was any confusion -- and I'm telling you 21 that I didn't specifically, as I did, today, define what a 22 sexual act is. Presumably somebody could think a sexual act is 23 taking a picture. And that is not the way it's defined under 24 law. So, to give you the benefit of the doubt, what I'm

indicating is I would require Ms. Miller to provide an

affidavit from the victim detailing, if it was the truth, her
account of any sexual intercourse, anal intercourse, digital

penetration that you may have had with her, and I will give you
a chance to contest that and you'd have to do that either by an
affidavit or and then I would make a determination. Because I

want you to understand this, and I'm sure Mr. Okay has
discussed it with you, you've been convicted by a plea in this

court of one count of receipt of child pornography.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

There are different cases, quidance THE COURT: that the Court above me has offered in these kind of situations. I'm sure Mr. Okay has talked to you about. One case, the Dorvee case, might suggest that some of the increases that are applied are applied in every case and that a court has to carefully scrutinize their application to make sure that an unjust, that is, an unreasonable sentence is not meted out. Another case a subsequent case called the Aumais case suggests that Dorvee wasn't this blanket statement that you can never give the Guidelines in a child pornography case but rather says that each case must be looked to individually. But I'm telling you this a big factor as made clear by the Dorvee case is whether or not an individual is a predator, poses a threat to children. Obviously if it's not refuted, and I'm looking at the presentence report, paragraph 19 says that, "Rinere first

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 had sexual intercourse with the victim on October 22nd, 2008. 3 Rinere was 41 years old and the minor was 13 years old. 4 MS. MILLER: Paragraph 61, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: The minor reported on May 18th, 2008 she was introduced to a long-time family friend of her mother's 6 7 named David Rinere, a white guy in his forties. She advised that in late June or early July of 2008, Rinere gave her an 8 9 AT&T cellular telephone and the two eventually started texting 10 each other. She reported their texting conversations gradually 11 became more boyfriend/girlfriend-type in nature. And on 12 September 27th, 2008, they decided to be boyfriend and 13 The minor victim was 13 years old and Rinere was 14 41. The victim reported that around October 22nd, 2008 the two 15 had intercourse together. She reported that Rinere but his 16 mouth on her vagina and put his fingers in her vagina and had 17 intercourse. She stated that was the first time she ever had 18 sexual intercourse and they had sex about seven more times that 19 day. The victim reported that over the course of their 20 relationship, approximately five months, they had sexual 2.1 intercourse approximately 50 times, they also engaged in oral 22 sex and anal sex, and that he put his penis into the victim's 23 mouth six or ten times. The victim reported that Rinere did 24 wear a condom in the beginning of their relationship but toward

the end stopped wearing them. She advised the last time they

- 2 had sex was on April 4th, 2009 when their relationship was
- 3 discovered and her mother called the police. It was during
- 4 this period when Rinere instructed the victim to take naked
- 5 pictures of herself with the cellular telephone he gave her and
- 6 to send them to his cellular telephone.
- 7 I'm just pointing out, so I want you to
- 8 understand, if you withdraw your objections that, I'm going to
- 9 say that there being no objection, other than the one stated in
- 10 your first statement, which I'll review, there being no other
- objections, the Court accept the statements contained in the
- 12 presentence investigation report as its findings of fact. That
- means I will find that you did engage in that conduct. So I
- don't want there to be any mistake about that or any confusion.
- 15 You have a right to contest the statements contained in the
- 16 presentence investigation report, if you want. I'm telling you
- as clearly as I can that if I find that you have engaged in
- 18 sexual intercourse, anal intercourse, oral intercourse with a
- 19 girl 13 and 14, that it may indeed affect the sentence you
- 20 receive. Because that is not the typical possession of child
- 21 pornography -- or, excuse me -- receipt of child pornography
- 22 case that I get. The typical case, frankly, Mr. Rinere, is
- 23 situations where law enforcement monitors computer activity
- they go into to shared Internet services such as KaZaa or
- 25 Limewire or Frostwire and they engage in communications with

- 1 USA VS. D. RINERE
- 2 someone who transmits to them child pornography and receives it
- 3 from them. That is your typical case. I'm telling you that.
- 4 If you want a chance to confer with Mr. Okay, I'll give you
- 5 that chance or we can proceed to sentencing.
- THE DEFENDANT: I'd rather proceed to sentencing,
- 7 sir.
- 8 THE COURT: Are you sure? Do you understand that
- 9 if you want to, I will give you a chance to confer with Mr.
- 10 Okay and I would be willing to adjourn sentencing if you want
- 11 to. Do you understand that?
- 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 13 THE COURT: Is it your choice to proceed?
- 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 15 THE COURT: All right. For purposes of the
- 16 record, Ms. Miller, has the government received the presentence
- 17 investigation report?
- MS. MILLER: Yes, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: And, Mr. Okay, have you received the
- 20 revised presentence investigation report?
- MR. OKAY: Yes, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Have you gone over it with Mr. Rinere?
- MR. OKAY: Yes, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: And, Mr. Okay, you are, again, so the
- 25 record is clear, withdrawing the amended statement with respect

- 1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 to sentencing factors which specifically affirmatively objects 3 to the references in the presentence report that the defendant 4 had sexual intercourse, anal intercourse, oral intercourse with 5 the victim; is that correct? 6 MR. OKAY: Yes. We are withdrawing the revised statement that was filed on November 21st. 7 THE COURT: Do you agree with that, Mr. Rinere? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Ms. Miller, does the government move 11 sentence? 12 MS. MILLER: We do, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: I do note, Mr. Okay -- bear with me a 14 second. Ms. Miller, do you have a copy? 15 MS. MILLER: The letter request? 16 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. OKAY: I have a copy.
- 18 THE COURT: I do have this request from the
- 19 government it reads: Dear Judge Siragusa. The Defendant David
- 20 Rinere is scheduled to appear before the Court on Monday
- November 21st, 2011 at 10 a.m. for sentencing. Title 18 of the
- U.S.C. Section 3371(a)(4) provides that the victim of a crime
- has the right to be reasonably heard at any public proceeding
- in the district court involving release plea, sentencing or
- parole proceedings. Title 18 of the U.S.C. Section 3771(e)

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 defines the term "crime victim" as the person directly and 3 approximately harmed as a result of the commission of a federal 4 offense. It also provides that, quote, "in the case where the 5 crime victim is under 18 years of age, incompetent, 6 incapacitated or deceased, the legal guardians or 7 representatives of the victim's estate, family members of the crime victim may assume the crime victim's rights. 8 9 Richardson is the mother of the victim in this case and will be 10 attending the hearing and has expressed her wish to be heard 11 before the Court sentences the defendant or at any time the 12 Court deems reasonable. So, pursuant to this request and 13 pursuant -- could you hand that back to Mr. Okay. And pursuant 14 to the applicable law, the Court will give Ms. Richardson an 15 opportunity to address the Court on behalf of her daughter 16 before the Court passes sentence. 17 So it's clear, what would happen, Ms. Miller, you 18 can make whatever statement you want, and Ms. Richardson can 19 make a statement, and, Mr. Okay, you can comment on anything 20 being said, and, lastly, Mr. Rinere, you will have the 21 opportunity to address the Court before the Court passes 22 sentence. So, Ms. Miller, again, does the government move 23 sentence. 24 MS. MILLER: We do, your Honor. THE COURT: Is there anything you would like to 25

# 1 USA VS. D. RINERE

2 say?

3 MS. MILLER: Briefly the government relies 4 principally on its sentencing statement previously filed with 5 the Court. I note, again, as the Court has at the time this 6 conduct occurred just about three years ago now the victim was 7 then 13, she is now 16. And to my understanding in speaking with both her and her family that the adjustment back to being 8 9 a normal teenager has been somewhat of a rough road for her. 10 She is receiving counseling and it is her decision not to 11 appear here today because, quite frankly, your Honor, she is 12 trying to move on and thought this would be detrimental to her 13 mental health to come back today. So that is why her mother is 14 here to speak to the Court. I will note that we have 15 previously submitted statements, as the Court recognized, from 16 the victim and other family members. Before I turn over to 17 Mrs. Richardson, I would just note, your Honor, that as the 18 Court has previously noted this is a different case. 19 not simply someone online looking at the images. Mr. Rinere 20 groomed a 13-year-old girl, got to the point where she believed 21 that they were in a boyfriend/girlfriend relationship, and even 22 he himself admitted he stopped looking at her as a 13-year-old 23 girl, which thereafter led to a significant sexual relationship 24 and a relationship in which he induced and enticed her to take 25 these photographs and even a video of herself masturbating and

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 send them to him on his cell phone which he not only kept, but 3 there is evidence that he moved on to a computer at the 4 residence where he was staying. Your Honor, we have no 5 indication to believe that those images have traveled 6 thereafter. However, I know it's a concern for the victim to 7 know that these images do exist and wonders whether or not they will come back in the future. So, the government respectfully 8 9 requests a Guideline sentence of 188 to 235 months. We would 10 additionally request that as part of the Court's sentence the 11 Court impose a stay away order for the victim during his term 12 of supervised release. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Miller, would you address, 14 there was one area up for dispute and the Court will rule, 15 before hearing from the victim's mother, the Court wants to rule on the objections. One major objection is with the 16 17 distribution. I take it it's the government's -- so can you 18 comment on the government's position on whether or not the 19 presentence report is correct with the application of the 20 increase for distribution? 2.1 MS. MILLER: Your Honor, the government does move 22 and concur with the recommendation contained in the presentence 23 investigation report that the enhancement for distribution 24 pursuant to section 2G2.1(b)(3), again, involving distribution 25 does apply in this case. Looking at the commentary associated

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 with this section, there are few parameters based on it. I 3 certainly think in this case we have a case of production and 4 the active distribution related to the transfer of material 5 involving the sexual exploitation of the minor. Your Honor, in 6 this case the government would respectfully submit there are a number of cases of distribution. Having enticed the victim to 7 create these images, the defendant thereafter sought to have 8 9 the images transmitted to him. Accordingly, she sent them from 10 her cell phone to his cellular phone. So, again, that is a 11 transfer of material involving the sexual exploitation of a 12 minor attended to the act of production. We might also argue 13 that the facts that that image was thereafter signed from his 14 cell phone to the computer of the owner whose home he was then 15 staying is almost a second act of distribution moving it to a 16 separate device. But I think that the primary distribution is 17 having induced the victim to capture these images to then 18 induce her to send them to him. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Okay, do you want to comment on 20 that or do you want to rest on your papers? 2.1 I'll rest on the papers, but I would 22

MR. OKAY: I'll rest on the papers, but I would just note that as far as the distribution enhancement is concerned, the way that we see it or the way that I see it or the way that it looks to us is that this image was sent from one cell phone to a second cell phone and then allegedly from a

23

24

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 cell phone to a computer. When it was sent to the computer, it 3 was sent to a password protected account on the Jackie Madigan 4 computer, the Dell Dimension L 500 C desktop that in Count 3 of 5 the indictment says it was possessed by the defendant, that is 6 the possession count in the indictment. And there was no transfer to a third party. There was no transfer for any 7 pecuniary gain. They kept this between themselves. And our 8 9 position is there was no distribution, not in the manner that 10 is contemplated by the application note to that particular 11 Guideline subdivision. Our position is that that enhancement 12 should not apply. 13 Hang on a second. I'm reading from THE COURT: 14 the definitional section 2G2.1, definitions under the 15 "Commentaries. Distribution means any act, including possession with intent to distribute, production, transmission, 16 17 advertisement and transportation related to transfer of 18 material involving the sexual exploitation of a minor. 19 Accordingly, distribution includes posting material involving 20 the sexual exploitation of a minor on a website for public 2.1 viewing. It does not include the mere solicitation of such 22 material by a defendant." Ms. Miller, what is the mere 23 solicitation of such defendant -- a mere solicitation of such 24 material by a defendant mean? MS. MILLER: Your Honor, the government would 25

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 respectfully submit that is the second half of that refers to 3 Internet transactions more of a third-party advisement type of 4 distribution, which I don't think is happening here. 5 government's submission is that the words, "any act," are 6 fairly clear as is the broad including, and, obviously, there is a host of factors that apply there, but there doesn't seem 7 to be this requirement that a third party be involved. 8 9 THE COURT: So what you're indicating is the 10 second phrase means if somebody said, look, I'd like some 11 pictures in a website, that would be distribution. Okay. The 12 Court -- first of all, there does not appear to be any factual 13 dispute as to what occurred. Rather the Court must decide as a 14 matter of law whether the undisputed conduct amounts to 15 distribution. The Court finds it does. The Court finds as a 16 matter of law that the conduct as detailed until paragraph 37 17 is the amount to distribution as that term is defined in 18 section 2G2.1(b). The Court is excluding in its determination 19 the picture of his penis, which he transmitted to the minor. 20 In making its determination, the Court is not considering that 2.1 but rather is focusing on the fact of the distribution of 22 material involving the minor. The Court, to the extent it's 23 necessary, makes that finding as a matter of law beyond a 24 preponderance. Of course, Mr. Okay, you can have an exception 25 to the Court's ruling.

2 Moving on on your objections. With respect to 3 your now only statement with respect to sentencing factors, 4 will direct that the report reflect that he has scars on his 5 That is paragraph one on page two. We'll ask that to be 6 I've already dealt with the second objection. 7 third, paragraph 15 relative to paragraph 81 of the presentence report mental and emotional health. The defendant notes that 8 9 he has been a mental health inpatient and dual diagnose 10 treatment facility at least six or seven times since the age of 11 15 for mental disorders, including anorexia and bulimia. 12 Again, I'll ask that be reflected in the report that is what 13 the defendant maintains that may be germane to sentencing. 14 defense notes that the list of agencies set forth in paragraph 15 81 of the presentence report is not a complete list of the 16 agencies that the defendant has interacted with in his life. 17 The defendant notes further that the claim of the defendant 18 that he has been diagnosed as a paranoid schizophrenia at least 19 four to six times in his life I ask that again the report 20 reflect that that is what the defendant is maintaining, it may 2.1 be germane at sentencing. Four, relative to paragraph 83 of 22 the presentence report, substance abuse, the defendant notes 23 that he has participated in 18 drug rehabilitation programs and 24 21 drug detoxification programs. Again, for what it's worth, 25 I'll ask that be added to the presentence report, again the

- 1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 Defendant's claim. It goes onto say, the list of substances 3 set forth in paragraph 83 is incomplete and should also include 4 LSD and heroin. It includes -- I note that paragraph 83 does 5 include LSD. Unless I'm missing it, it does not include heroin, so we can add heroin to the list. Finally, page 16, 6 7 relative to paragraph 85 of the presentence report, the defendant notes that while he worked for Pinkerton Security in 8 9 Butler, PA he managed 150 employees at 15 job sites. And when 10 he worked at Burger King in Butler, PA he was the restaurant 11 manager. Again, I'll ask that be included for whatever it's 12 worth and the defendant is advised. So the Court now has ruled 13 on all of the either objections or requests for additions to 14 the presentence report. 15 MR. OKAY: Your Honor, if I may, this morning we filed an application for an extension of time and in our 16 17 affidavit we mentioned the name of Serena. 18 THE COURT: We asked that that be sealed. We'll 19 make sure -- that was submitted to me or online now? 20 MR. OKAY: No, it's online. 21 MS. MILLER: It is my understanding after I raised
- the issue to Mr. Okay, that he did speak to the clerk's office and they will seal it.
- 24 MR. OKAY: It hasn't been online.
- THE COURT: Kathy, after we're done, can you make

- USA VS. D. RINERE 1 2 sure that that was done. 3 THE CLERK: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Okay. 5 MS. MILLER: Your Honor, Ms. Richardson has returned to the room. 6 7 THE COURT: For the record, again, this is Ms. 8 Richardson, the victim's mother, who is exercising her right to 9 be able to address the Court prior to sentencing. So, Ms. 10 Richardson, take your time and please go ahead. 11 VICTIM'S MOTHER: I would like to start this on 12 how I know David. David has been part of my whole life. 13 were friends even before we were born due to our mothers being 14 friends in high school. David has always been part of both of 15 my children's lives. In fact, his youngest son was conceived after our first visit to David and his wife to introduce my 16 17 friend to my daughter, the victim when she was just a baby. 18 While holding her and playing with her, they decided they want 19 another. As a close friend to David, I truly thought I could 20 trust this man with everything, even in my children's lives. 2.1 We had visited David and his wife occasionally throughout the 22 David lived in PA at this time. The last time we had a 23 chance to visit David, my daughter, the victim, was only 5.
- David and I had stayed in contact throughout the years via
- e-mails, telephone and the computer. But just never got the

2 chance to get together due to our busy lives. During those 3 years so many things had changed in both David and my lives. 4 In May of 2008, my mother got the horrible call from David 5 saying that her best friend, Tina, had passed, David's mom. 6 During those services, which were held back here in Rochester 7 where she was born and raised, this was the first time I had seen David in many years. This is also when David decided to 8 9 move back to Rochester to be close to his family and also get 10 reintroduced to my youngest daughter, the victim. Again, she 11 was just 13 and my oldest was 18. With all this said, what 12 David has done to my daughter is so unspeakable and we all have 13 to live the rest of our lives. The fears and distrust he has 14 caused in her life can never be corrected. My daughter would 15 be doing something as simple as listening to the radio and 16 break out and cry because it was a song that David listened to. 17 For example, she just distrusts men now. She has lost her 18 connection with her own father due to the lies and untrue 19 statements that David has told her. David convinced my 20 daughter her father didn't love her, didn't want her and, in 2.1 fact, never wanted her. David used that manipulation to get 22 into my daughter's thoughts and mind making her think her 23 father disliked her and never wanted her and she was an 24 embarrassment to him. Which, to this day, their relationship 25 is still dealing with issues of trust. David manipulated and

2 physically abused my daughter for over eight months. She has 3 also felt very shameful and embarrassed. He did sexual things 4 to my child that made her feel very uncomfortable and told her 5 not to mention those actions to anyone because they just 6 wouldn't understand. Which those scars and thoughts will never leave her. Let's all just remember she was just 13 and he was 7 It has taken intense therapy three times a week and 8 9 treatment for her to start to recoup from this abuse. Again, 10 with the therapy she is receiving she is starting to heal from 11 those scars and wounds caused by David, a so-called friend. 12 But the memory will haunt her forever. What this has done to 13 me as a mom watching, watching all these horrible things unwind 14 is horrifying. Knowing that the things that David did to my 15 daughter makes me sick to my stomach. As a mom, I have had to 16 watch my daughter cry herself to sleep at night, flip out and 17 scream if she just drops a glass of milk worrying that somebody 18 was going to blame her because she did something wrong. He 19 took herself esteem away from her. If someone walks up behind 20 her, she would jump through the roof and get extremely scared. 2.1 She was constantly looking over her shoulder to see if he was 22 behind her due to she was afraid of him getting her again. 23 time and effort in taking her to all these appointments and the 24 energy just trying to hold her while she was in tears, wow, what a toll it's taken. Staying up all night holding my 25

25

2 daughter because she was afraid to go to sleep. Watching her 3 cry when she finally gets to sleep because she was dreaming. 4 The emotional roller coaster as a mom having to watch her child 5 heal from abuse from a man who was a friend. I myself have had 6 to go to therapy to get help for myself to learn how to trust 7 again and to learn not to blame myself for what David did to my I have also had to go through classes to be able to 8 9 help my daughter heal from this abuse. I have missed a lot of 10 time of work going to and from therapy appointments for my 11 daughter and myself and not to mention the financial toll it's 12 taken by missing work for all these appointments and paying for 13 therapy and counseling for two. 14 With the acknowledged -- with the knowledge I have 15 now, I truly believe David is a very dangerous man. He knows 16 how to manipulate, lie, cheat and abuse not only the people who 17 trust him but the system. David has the ability to get people 18 he talks to to think he is a good man. This is a mask he has 19 mastered. Well, from everything that me and my child have had 20 to endure over the past three years is unspeakable. 2.1 actions and sexual abuse he did against a small child is 22 horrific. I believe now from the bottom of my heart that David 23 doesn't have remorse for what he has done to my daughter. 24 will do this again if he gets out. David is a man who can't be

trusted. He is a man that needs to be held accountable for all

- 1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 of the horrible things he has done to a 13-year-old child. 3 also believe that he is a threat to my daughter's safety. I 4 believe he will try to locate her, harm her because she broke 5 her silence after his eight months of abusing her. 6 And in closing, what I would like to ask is for 7 David to serve life in prison without the chance of parole so he cannot harm my daughter or someone else's again. 8 9 believe the charges you have in front of you do not hold that 10 kind of sentence but I would plead the Court to hold David 11 accountable to the fullest for his actions of abuse, manipulation and vile behavior to a child to the max sentence 12 13 possible. Whatever the max sentence may be that is allowed for 14 the charge you have in front of you, I would ask you sentence David to the max. Thank you. 15 16 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Mr. Okay, is 17 there anything you would like to say on behalf of Mr. Rinere 18 before the Court passes sentence? 19 MR. OKAY: Yes, your Honor. We have just heard a 20 very powerful statement. And, your Honor, if the Court is 2.1 inclined to impose a Guideline sentence, we'd ask the Court to 22 impose a sentence at the low end of the Guideline range. 23 However, we are also asking the Court, consistent with what's 24 in the plea agreement, to consider a sentence at the mandatory
- 25 minimum for the offense of conviction. And our position is

- 1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 that in light of the Second Circuit authority, such a sentence 3 would not be procedurally or substantively unreasonable. 4 you. 5 Thank you. Mr. Okay, the Court THE COURT: appreciates your efforts on behalf of Mr. Rinere and I'm sure 6 7 he does as well. Mr. Rinere, is there anything you would like to say to the Court before I pass sentence? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Please go ahead. 11 THE DEFENDANT: You know, she just stood there and 12 said that I'll never feel any quilt for what I did. I was 263 13 pounds when I came into custody. I don't sleep and I don't 14 eat. There isn't a day that doesn't go by that I don't have 15 her on my mind and what I did, how I destroyed her, her mother, her grandmother. They were all my family. That was the only 16 17 family that I had left. If I had any idea of what I was doing, 18 I wouldn't have been doing it. I didn't wait until I was 41 19 years old to become a pedophile and a child pornographer. The 20 amount of alcohol and drugs that I was consuming, I was in a 21 total blackout 90 percent of the time. And I am not by anyway 22 saying that that was the cause. I accept responsibility for 23 what I did. It was my actions for drinking that are what were
- 25 fighting since I was 11 years old drug addiction and alcohol,

24

the cause, but, I don't know, maybe it was because I've been

# 1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 I've spent all my time trying to fix what was wrong with me. Ι 3 didn't want to get into any of this. If you look over my 4 criminal history, my criminal history shows I'm a drunk. I've 5 never hurt anybody. I've never had any victims. I don't 6 believe in violence. I've never been part of a gang. I never 7 worked for the mob. I never sold drugs. I never had guns. I've never done any of it because I always tried to stay within 8 the bounds of the law. 9 If I could turn back the hands of time, 10 I would give my life to give back what I took from her. I 11 never wanted to hurt her. I never wanted to hurt anybody. 12 spend -- maybe it was because I was so depressed and so grieved 13 after watching my mother die for six years and then being given 14 two minutes to decide whether they continue working on her or 15 let her go. Maybe it was the insane amount of drugs and 16 alcohol I was using that made everything seem like it was okay 17 there was nothing wrong with it. Maybe it was complete 18 insanity that caused me to do something this horrific and 19 stupid, but to ever do this again to somebody else never. 20 never done this before, never been accused because I hate 21 people like me like this, people that do things like this. I 22 never wanted to be before another court and never want to be in 23 another jail, never want to have any of this happen. 24 want to hurt. I want to find my own piece of the world. 25 Carrie's sister used to visit me in jail, Rachel, her and her

# 1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 friend Grace, they all told me they knew it wasn't just me, it 3 was the situation that I was put in, nobody watched what I was 4 doing, nobody watched the amount of drugs and alcohol I was 5 using. Nobody cared what went on around them. They want me to 6 continue on because Rachel wants me to be there to see 7 Jaelynne. She brought her to the jail for me to hold her one time before she left for CA. I'm the one that bought Jaelynne 8 9 the first crib. Does that sound if I'm that kind of monster 10 that is going to go out and continually destroy people, do you 11 think that is what Rachel would want her little girl living in? 12 I'm not that kind of person. I need help. What I want to do 13 is go to the prison and utilize any resource that is available 14 to me to get the help so I can be a better person when I come out than I was when I went in. I don't ever want to be in this 15 16 situation. I never want to hurt anybody again. I can't live 17 with what I've done to myself now. I've been told by two 18 doctors in both jails that if I don't start putting the 19 vitamins and minerals back in my body, I'll end up shutting 20 down. And you know what? That's okay with me. Thank you. 2.1 THE COURT: The Court is now then prepared to pass 22 sentence on you, Mr. Rinere. And, in that regard, I have 23 received the presentence investigation report and other 24 submissions to which I've referred. Additionally, I've 25 listened to what Ms. Miller said and wrote, what Mr. Okay said

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 and wrote, what you said and certainly to what Ms. Richardson 3 said, and wrote. Before proceeding, I just think it's 4 appropriate to read the letter from the victim in this case who 5 wrote to me as follows: Your Honor, this man has severely 6 affected my life. All the way from being 13 years old, 7 innocent and getting As in school to having many tremendous breakdowns, counselors and health issues. Not only have I had 8 9 problems gaining stability mentally, but also problems 10 physically. Physical problems such as stress, induced issues 11 and mental problems is in myself having to take medications to 12 get myself to this point. I have become a strong willed young 13 woman now. It has taken me a very long time in order to find 14 who I am again and realize justice will be served thanks to 15 you. Last, but not least, writing this letter has shown not 16 only my family but myself as well that I have the strength to 17 face and move on from this. I currently am in the process of 18 raising my grades, having a summer job, and having a very 19 supportive boyfriend that has stood behind me. I have faith in 20 you to serve this man a sentence that you would give if this 21 was your child or loved one. Thank you. 22 I also received a letter from the victim's 23 grandmother that reads: Your Honor, my name is Mary Jane 24 Bigato. I am the victim's grandmother. It saddens me to feel 25 that I need to write this letter to you regarding David Rinere.

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 I've known David his whole life. I was friends with his mother 3 for over 55 years. I watched David growing up here in 4 Rochester and knew of the trouble that David got into in grade 5 school, his use of drugs and alcohol at a young age. I knew 6 when he started to have sexual relationships with his 7 babysitter. I know what happened to the first young girl that 8 David got pregnant and during her pregnancy David wasn't 9 allowed to be around her or their child because of abuse. 10 David has had no contact with his first son. David, his mother 11 and the first wife lived here in Rochester for several years. 12 I've seen the many apartments they have lived in that were 13 damaged by David's hands. I saw many bruises on his wife, but 14 they passed it off as her being clumsy. What did I know back 15 then. They all moved to Pennsylvania about 20 years ago. pattern started out pretty good, David had a job and seemed to 16 17 be doing fine. David and his first wife have three children, 18 two boys and a girl. The boys' ages range from 14 to 20 and 19 girl to 17. His first wife has orders of protection against 20 David to completely stay away from her and her three children. 2.1 The last one came because of a car accident because David was 22 driving with no license and nearly killed his older son. 23 During his first marriage while David was working, David was 24 having an affair with a young 16-year-old girl who later became

his second wife, David was 34 at the time. In visits to PA,

2 which there were six different places they at, I saw the same 3 damages in each of those apartments. Everything went back to 4 the ugly man. David's second marriage, he has two daughters, 4 5 and 7. That marriage only lasted a few years. My friend and 6 David were now living in a different place, everything seemed 7 okay. David was clean of any alcohol, he was getting help. I still couldn't understand why David's own family wanted nothing 8 to do with him and his mother. As a favor to David's mother 9 10 only two short months before she passed away, she asked me if I 11 could please take care of David and I said yes. A month after 12 my friend's death we brought David back to Rochester. 13 still getting the help he needed. And then all hell broke out. 14 I couldn't believe that David could have created such chaos and destruction in my family, especially to my granddaughter, the 15 16 victim. David not only destroyed her innocence but her trust. 17 Three years of counseling and therapy, the victim is starting 18 to come. And she has her ups and downs from grades in the 90s 19 to she is struggling to pass. David's lies about the victim's 20 own father is a work in progress. The victim still has a hard 2.1 time trusting. David is a menace to society. David preys on 22 young innocent girls. He is a predator and needs to stay in 23 prison for as long as possible so he cannot hurt another young girl. Now, at the outset, let me make one thing clear. With 24 25 respect to the letters that I've received from the victim's

mother, the victim herself and the victim's grandmother and
with respect to the statements Ms. Richardson made in court,
the Court is not considering them on the sentence I should
give. That is my responsibility and I recognize that. Rather
I'm considering it, Mr. Rinere, as an indication of the

seriousness of the crime, a legitimate factor for a court to

8 consider in sentencing.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In any event, as I've indicated, the Court has considered all of the submissions that I referred to, including the presentence investigation report, and the comments made here in court and the Court is accordingly prepared to pass sentence. Mr. Rinere, you stand before me, you are now 44 years old, born on March 18th of 1967. And you're here for sentence after pleading quilty to Count 2 of the subject indictment, receipt of child pornography, a class C felony in violation of Title 18 of the U.S.C. Section 2252A(a)(2). It's punishable, as we discussed when you pled guilty, by a minimum of five years in prison and a maximum of 20 years and a fine of up to a quarter of a million dollars or both. On February 16th of 2011, you appeared before me. You pled guilty to this charge. Your plea was by way of a written plea agreement pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. In other words, you understood, Mr. Rinere, at the time you pled quilty, that your plea was non-revokable, and it

2 was entered with the full understanding that any calculations 3 or recommendations contained in the plea agreement would not be 4 binding upon me and that I could impose upon you the maximum 5 sentence allowable under law, including a minimum of five years, a maximum of 20 years, a quarter of a million dollar 6 7 fine or both. As a condition of the plea, the government agreed not to oppose a recommendation that in calculating the 8 9 advisory Sentencing Guidelines about which you and I spoke that 10 the Court reduce your offense level by a total of two points 11 for what's called acceptance of responsibility. I explained to 12 you that you didn't get that automatically, that you had to 13 convince me you were remorseful for what you did. Now, that 14 you have, frankly -- there certainly was an issue in many my 15 mind based on what you said in the plea colloguy as pointed out 16 by Ms. Miller based on the outstanding indictments for which 17 there was a probable cause finding in Federal Court whether 18 that you were sincere in your acceptance, however, having 19 withdrawn that amended sentencing statement, I do feel that 20 you, and based on what you said to me, I will give you the 2.1 benefit of the doubt and find that you have accepted 22 responsibility and give you the benefit of that reduction in 23 calculating your advisory sentencing Guidelines. And, 24 nonetheless, in your plea of guilty you did admit to me that on 25 or about October 24th, 2008 and April 24th, 2009 between those

2 dates that you were involved in a relationship with KM a minor 3 who at the time was under the age of 15 who lived here in the 4 western district. You acknowledged to me that you did have a 5 relationship with her during the course of which you employed and used, enticed and persuaded her to produce a sexually 6 7 explicit video and photographs of yourself using a cellular telephone. And you knew full well at the time you did this she 8 9 was 13. You admitted to me that at your request she 10 transmitted the sexually explicit photographs and video to your 11 cellular phone and you told me that on or about October 24th of 12 2008 that you received those photographs on your phone. Again, 13 at the time you received them that you knew she was thirteen 14 years old. You acknowledged that the images were shipped and 15 transported using a Samsung cellular phone and you didn't 16 dispute based on the government's proffer Sampson does not 17 manufacture the cellular phone, that they had to travel outside 18 of the United States, that the images and transmission did 19 involve a means or facility of Interstate or foreign commerce. 20 This is not your first involvement with the 2.1 criminal justice system. As reflected in the presentence 22 investigation report, you do have prior involvements. 23 1987, you were convicted of operating a motor vehicle while 24 intoxicated. In 1999, you were convicted of driving while under the influence of alcohol. That was in Pennsylvania. 25

- 1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 1996 you were convicted of criminal trespass in the second 3 degree, again in Pennsylvania. For that you received five 4 months jail and two years probation. You have a disorderly 5 conduct plea out of Irondequoit town court. And it looks like you have a plea to simple assault in 2005 in Pennsylvania. 6 7 As we discussed when you pled quilty, Mr. Rinere, sentencing in this action is pursuant to the Sentencing Reform 8 9 Act of 1984. Mr. Okay has indicated that he has received a 10 copy of the presentence investigation report. Is that correct, 11 Mr. Okay? 12 MR. OKAY: Yes. THE COURT: Did you review it with Mr. Rinere? 13 14 MR. OKAY: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Rinere, have you read the report 16 yourself? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: The Court has ruled on objections made 19 with respect to the enhancement and directed certain additions 20 to the presentence report based on your sentencing statement. 2.1 The Court does otherwise adopt the statements contained in the 22 presentence investigation report as its findings of fact. In
- deciding on a reasonable and appropriate sentence, the Court is aware of, Mr. Rinere, of its responsibility to impose a sentence sufficient but not greater than necessary to comply

### 1 USA VS. D. RINERE

2 with the purposes of sentencing as set forth in 18 U.S.C. 3 Section 3553. As required by that section, I've considered all 4 of the following. I've considered the nature and circumstance 5 of your crime and your history and characteristics. I've 6 considered the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of what you did. And, again, the Court considers 7 the victim impact letters on that point, the seriousness of the 8 9 crime and no other. I've considered the need for the sentence 10 imposed to promote respect for the law, and provide you with a 11 just punishment, to send a message of deterrence, to tell the 12 community this kind of conduct simply cannot be tolerated. 13 I've considered the importance of protecting the public from further crimes that you -- any further crimes committed on your 14 behalf to make sure that that doesn't occur. I've considered 15 16 the need to provide you with whatever care, training or 17 treatment you need in the most effective manner. I've 18 considered as well the importance of avoiding unwarranted 19 sentencing disparities, that is, differences among defendants 20 with similar records who have been found quilty of similar 2.1 conduct. And I've considered the those allowed under the 22 statute, a potential of 20 years, and those recommended under 23 the Guidelines. With respect to the advisory sentencing 24 Guidelines in accordance with the Second Circuit's direction in 25 the Crosby and Gonzalez cases, I've made the following findings

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 which have allowed me to properly consider the advisory 3 Sentencing Guidelines along with all other sentencing factors. 4 I've considered that your base offense level is 32. I've 5 determined there should be some enhancements. First, a 6 two-level increase pursuant to the Guideline Section 2G2.1(b)(1)(B) since the offense involved a minor who is more 7 than 12 years old but under 16. Another two-level increase 8 9 pursuant to Guideline Section 2G2.1(b)(2)(A) since the offense 10 involved the commission of a sexual act, we detailed those 11 acts. I've also ruled against your objection and found that 12 the government could establish as a matter of law and certainly 13 by a preponderance of evidence that there should be a two-level 14 increase for distribution. If you take those increases to the 15 base offense level that is a total of six levels in increases 16 and takes your adjusted offense level is 38. However, the 17 Court will give you the benefit of that two-level reduction 18 discussed in the plea agreement for acceptance, which takes you 19 down to a 36. Your criminal history category is 1. 20 what you understood in the plea agreement and that is what the 2.1 plea agreement recommends. With a criminal history category of 1 and an offense level of 36, the recommended range under the 22 23 advisory sentencing Guidelines is 188 to 235 months in prison. 24 The recommended period of supervised release is recommended 25 under the advisory Guidelines pursuant to policy statement

40

1 USA VS. D. RINERE

5D1.2(a)(2) is life. You're not eligible for probation. The

3 fine range is 2000 -- excuse me -- 20,000 to 200,000. And

4 restitution, in this case, Ms. Miller, is not an issue; is that

5 correct?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 MS. MILLER: That is correct.

THE COURT: So those would be my options under the advisory Guidelines. However, in the plea agreement, Mr. Okay reserved on your behalf an important right and that is the right to argue to me for a sentence outside or less than the Guidelines. Specifically in paragraph 16, Mr. Okay reserved the right to, quote, "recommend a sentence outside of the sentencing Guideline range." And he has done that in his sentencing memorandum. When such an application is made the Court first is obligated to consider whether any departure authority exists within a Guideline analysis. In regard to his basis for seeking a downward departure or a sentence outside of the Guideline range, Mr. Okay directs the Court to the Dorvee case. Dorvee didn't dispute really the application of certain enhancements, but, as Mr. Okay points out, suggested that the Guidelines -- the Guidelines, in certain respects, have no empirical basis, and could thus lead to unreasonable sentences. However, as to a Guideline analysis, the Court finds that there is no factor identified individually or in combination which would justify the Court departing from the Guideline range.

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 However, that doesn't end the analysis. The Court must next 3 consider whether there is any basis for giving you a 4 non-Guideline sentence. And here, of course, as Mr. Okay 5 suggests in his submission, the Court has considerably more 6 discretion. And here he relies on the Dorvee case. 7 certainly Dorvee did question the application of certain enhancements that are typical with child pornography cases such 8 9 as the use of a computer. However, there is no such 10 enhancement here. The number of images, however there is no 11 such enhancement here. The fact that many child pornography 12 cases involve enhancements for sadistic images or images of 13 violence, again, there are none here. So the Court is really 14 not seeing the facts and circumstances of this case, the 15 applicability of Dorvee. Additionally, the Court might add, 16 the Court made a point in Dorvee to indicate that defendant --17 that is Dorvee -- never acted out, never engaged in sexual 18 activity involving children, which is certainly not the case 19 here. Additionally the Court notes United States vs. Aumais at 20 656 F. 3d 147, 156 to 157 where the Circuit noted, quote, "as 2.1 substantive reasonableness Aumais principally relies on our 22 recent decision in Dorvee to support the argument that the 23 sentence was greater than necessary to serve the purpose of 24 sentencing. This argument too is without merit. Dorvee 25 observed that USSG Section 2G2.2, the Guideline at issue here,

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 can, unless applied with great care, leave with sentences that 3 are inconsistent with what 3553 requires because the 4 enhancements in that Guideline routinely result in Guideline 5 projections near or exceeding statutory maximum even in the 6 run-of-the-mill cases. The various child pornography enhancements applied in Dorvee resulted in a Guideline sentence 7 at the lower end -- at the low end was 22 months longer than 8 9 the statutory maximum. So the Court is aware of Dorvee and the 10 Court is aware of Aumais and the Court has applied the 11 Guidelines here with great care. In doing so, the Court 12 determines that a non-Guideline sentence is not warranted. The 13 Court has considered the factors of the Dorvee case. clearly a serious crime. And it's certainly made more serious 14 15 by the facts and circumstances that are undisputed in this case. So, the Court on careful consideration determines that 16 17 there is nothing identified here which would lead the Court to 18 a non-Guideline sentence. To the extent that really raised for 19 the first time in this proceeding is this idea that Mr. Rinere 20 was under the influence of drugs or alcohol or under some 2.1 psychological issues relating to the death of his mother or 22 history of some issues of mental health treatment those really 23 were not identified up to his comments here in court. any event, with respect to a Guideline analysis, those are all 24 25 disfavored factors, and the Court certainly finds there is no

# 1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 evidence they were present to such a degree that would take 3 this case out of the heartland of cases for a Guideline 4 sentence. Moreover, in terms of a non-Guideline sentence, the 5 Court figures -- the Court determines they don't justify 6 something outside of the Guidelines. Frankly, Mr. Rinere, your 7 suggestion that if you had any idea of what you were doing -that you didn't have any idea of what you were doing, you would 8 9 never hurt the victim intentionally, suggestion that you must 10 have been insane, that it was alcohol, that nobody helped you, 11 that it was because your mother had died, sound to me like the 12 devil-made-me-do-it argument. And I don't accept them. You're 13 an adult man, obviously intelligent, you knew exactly what you 14 were doing. And I'll put it to you directly. You are a sexual 15 predator. It's made more egregious by the fact that this child 16 was a family friend. That you abused this position of trust. 17 It certainly sounds undisputed that the victim's family, 18 including her grandmother, reached out to try and help you out 19 of a sense of loyalty that the grandmother had for your mother 20 and you used that position to engage in the most unthinkable 2.1 conduct. In a civilized society, Mr. Rinere, we have a 22 responsibility to protect our children, not to violate them. 23 And that is exactly what you did. You engaged in conduct that 24 will forever affect this child. And to say that for you, a 25 family friend, to do so is despicable is an understatement.

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 Now, I've considered the Guidelines carefully. 3 I've considered all of the facts and circumstances of this case 4 and the Court determines that in your case a sentence of 228 5 months, 19 years, is the sentence sufficient but not greater 6 than necessary to comply with all the sentencing objectives as 7 set forth in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553. I've considered the facts and circumstances as they apply to this case, and have 8 9 determined, as indicated, that such sentence is sufficient but 10 not greater than necessary to comply with those sentencing 11 requirements. 12 The Court then, Mr. Rinere, has carefully 13 considered all of the facts and circumstances surrounding your 14 case as well as the objectives of sentencing as set forth in 18 15 U.S.C. Section 3553. Pursuant to that section of law and pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 it is the 16 17 judgment of the Court that you, David R. Rinere, are hereby 18 committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be 19 imprisoned for a term of 228 months, that is 19 years. Now, 20 the Court fully recognizes that this is close to the statutory 2.1 maximum. It is certainly within the Guideline range, but close 22 to the statutory maximum. The Court believes that that is 23 appropriate in this case. And in doing so, the Court has 24 followed the direction of Aumais and the Court has carefully

applied the Guidelines in this case and has considered those

25

# 1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 objectives of sentencing. Why 228 months? To the extent it's 3 necessary, since the range the Court has found -- and I want to 4 clarify that range. The Court does find for purposes of the 5 record, in case I did not indicate it, that with a criminal 6 history category of -- I did indicate, I'm sorry. That the 7 sentencing range indicated in the plea agreement is appropriate. And, however, the Court, when the sentencing 8 9 range exceeds 24 months, which it does in this case, is 10 required to state its reason for imposing a sentence at a 11 particular point. Frankly, I think that a sentence near the 12 statutory maximum is necessary not only to send a strong 13 message to the community that you cannot engage in this 14 horrific conduct, that, again, we should be protecting our 15 children not abusing them, but, frankly, to send, I think it's 16 an appropriate sentence for you to make sure you never do this 17 kind of thing again. The cost of incarceration fee is waived. 18 But, again, you are committed to the custody of the Bureau of 19 Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 228 months. 20 ordered that you pay to the United States a fine of \$500. 2.1 Interest on the fine is waived. While incarcerated, if you are 22 non-UNICOR or UNICOR grade 5, you are to pay installments of 25 23 per quarter. If assigned grades one through four, you're to 24 pay installments of 50 percent of your monthly pay. While on 25 supervised release, you shall make monthly payments at the rate

# 1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 of 10 percent of your monthly gross income. Payments are be to 3 made in the form of a money order made payable to Clerk, 304 4 U.S. District Court, 68 Court Street, Buffalo, New York, 14202. 5 It's further ordered that you pay to a United States a special 6 assessment of \$100, which is due immediately. Upon your 7 release from imprisonment, you shall be placed on supervised release for a term of 30 years. Now, why 30 years? Well, I 8 9 will explain. And the Court fully understands I could give you 10 up to life under the plea agreement. However, if memory serves 11 me correctly, you were arrested in 2009, so you have 12 approximately two years in for which you'll get credit. 13 behave inside on a 19-year sentence, you'll do approximately 16 14 If you take away the two years you've done, that is 14 15 You'll be 58 approximately when you get out. I think 16 30 years is sufficient to put you in your mid to late 80s to 17 quarantee the safety of children. The Court determines any 18 less measure would put children at risk. But in imposing the 19 sentence, the Court considers it's reasonable after considering 20 all of the 18 U.S.C. Section 3553 factors. While on supervised 2.1 release, you shall not commit another federal, state or local 22 crime. You are prohibited from possessing a firearm, 23 ammunition or other dangerous device. In addition, you shall 24 not possess a controlled substance and shall comply with the 25 standard conditions that have been adopted by this Court.

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 Since the instant offense occurred after September 13th, 1994 3 drug testing is required by the Crime Control Act. 4 Additionally, you shall cooperate in the collection of a DNA 5 sample as required by the Justice for All Act of 2004. Ιn 6 addition, you shall comply with the following special conditions. You must provide the United States Probation 7 Office with advance notification of any computer, automated 8 9 service or connected devices that will be used during the term 10 of supervision. Probation office is authorized to install any 11 application as necessary to surveil all activity on any such 12 computers, connected devices which you own or operate. You are 13 -- you may be required to pay the cost of monitoring services 14 at a monthly rate determined by the probation office. The rate 15 and payment schedule are subject to periodic adjustments by the probation office and the probation office shall be informed via 16 17 electronic notification of any -- excuse me -- shall be 18 notified via electronic transmission of any impermissible or 19 suspicious activity or communications occurring on such 20 computer or connected devices consistent with the computer 2.1 monitoring policy in effect by the probation office. As 22 triggered by impermissible or suspicious activity, you shall 23 consent to and cooperate with the unannounced examinations of 24 any computer equipment with which you own or use. 25 examination shall include, but is not limited to, retrieval and

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 copying of all data from any such computers, connected devices, 3 storage media and internal or external peripherals and may 4 include the removal for the purpose of conducting more thorough 5 inspection. You are to enroll, attend and participate in 6 mental health intervention specifically designed for the 7 treatment of sexual offenders as approved by the probation office. You're to comply with the mandates of treatment, 8 9 treatment program and are not to leave treatment until 10 discharge is agreed to by the probation office and the treating 11 agency. You shall not have deliberate contact with any child 12 under 18 years of age unless approved by the probation office. 13 You shall not loiter within 100 feet of school yards, 14 playgrounds, arcades or other places primarily used by children 15 under the age of 18. You shall -- you are prohibited from 16 possessing or downloading any child pornography as defined in 17 18 U.S.C. Section 2256 to include as follows: Any visual 18 depiction, including any photograph, film, video, picture or 19 computer or computer-generated picture or image whether made or produced by electronic, mechanical or other means of sexually 20 21 explicit conduct. You shall register with the state sex 22 offender registration agency in any state where you reside, are 23 employed, carry on a vocation or are a student and provide 24 proof of registration to the probation office. You are to 25 submit to a search of your person, house, residence, vehicle,

#### 1 USA VS. D. RINERE

2 papers, and other electronic communications or data storage 3 devices or media and effects and consent to a search at any 4 time with or without a warrant by any law enforcement or 5 probation officer with reasonable suspicion concerning a 6 violation of a condition of supervised release or unlawful 7 conduct on your part by any probation officer in the lawful discharge of that Officer's supervisory functions. In order to 8 9 monitor your compliance with not buying or subscribing to 10 networks that provide child pornography. You are to provide 11 the probation office with access -- excuse me -- to any 12 requested personal and/or business financial information. You 13 shall submit to substance abuse testing to include urinalysis 14 and other testing. The details of such testing to be approved 15 by the probation office. If substance abuse is indicated by 16 the testing, you are to complete a drug/alcohol evaluation and 17 enter into any treatment as deemed necessary by the probation 18 office and/or the Court. Finally, if treatment is necessary, 19 you're not to leave treatment until discharge is agreed to by 20 the probation office and/or the Court. And while in treatment or after discharge from treatment, you're to abstain from the 2.1 22 use of alcohol. Finally, you're required to contribute to the 23 cost of any services rendered in an amount determined by the 24 probation office based on the -- based on your ability to pay 25 and availability of any third-party payment. Finally, as a

1 USA VS. D. RINERE 2 condition of supervised release, you are to stay away from the 3 victim, not have any communication, whether by phone, in 4 person, e-mail, regular mail or in any form whatsoever with 5 That is the sentence of the Court. Now, since this 6 sentence is in accordance with the terms and conditions of the 7 plea agreement, pursuant to the plea agreement -- excuse me. You do have your right to appeal the sentence imposed. Bear 8 9 with me one moment. Since this sentence exceeds the 188 months 10 for which had you agreed to waive your right to appeal or 11 otherwise collaterally attack, I am informing you, Mr. Rinere, 12 that pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32(c)(5) 13 that you have the right to appeal the sentence imposed. If you 14 are unable to pay the cost of an appeal, you may apply for 15 leave to appeal in forma pauperis. However, it's the practice 16 in this district, Mr. Okay will represent you on any appeal 17 should you wish to file. That is the sentence of the Court. 18 Ms. Miller, is there any charges outstanding? 19 MS. MILLER: The government moves to dismiss the 20 remaining counts in the indictment. 2.1 MR. OKAY: Your Honor, would the Court be inclined 22 to make a recommendation for the Bureau of Prisons drug 23 treatment program and house Mr. Rinere as close to the Western 24 District of New York geographically as possible. 25 THE COURT: Does he have relatives here?

1	USA VS. D. RINERE
2	THE DEFENDANT: I have a fiance that is here.
3	THE COURT: All right, I will. Mr. Okay, pursuan
4	to your request, I recommend that Mr. Rinere be housed in any
5	suitable Bureau of Prisons facility as close to this area as
6	possible so he can stay in contact with his fiance. I will
7	also recommend to the Bureau of Prisons that Mr. Rinere be
8	allowed to participate in any suitable Bureau of Prisons
9	substance abuse therapy program that he qualifies for and that
10	he is willing to participate in. With respect to my first
11	recommendation about being housed in a suitable Bureau of
12	Prisons facility as close to this area as possible, it may be,
13	as you know, Mr. Okay, that that is going to be in Butner,
14	North Carolina or some other facility where they have where
15	they specifically have the services designed to offer treatment
16	for sex offenders. Thank you very much.
17	MS. MILLER: Your Honor, with respect to the
18	Government's respect to dismiss the outstanding counts of the
19	indictment.
20	THE COURT: Yes, the Court will grant that.
21	MS. MILLER: Thank you, your Honor.
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. S/ Karen J. Bush Official Court Reporter